RESEARCHERID
THOMSON REUTERS

[Singh* *et al.*, 7(2): February, 2018]
ICTM Value: 3.00

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 5.164
CODEN: IJESS7

IJESRT
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

A COMMON FIXED POINT RESULT OF A PAIR OF SELF-MAPS IN DISLOCATED QUASI METRIC SPACES

Laisom Sharmeswar Singh
Department of Teacher Education
Manipur University, Canchipur-795003, Manipur, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1184011

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a common fixed point theorem of a pair of self-maps is proved by omitting continuity requirement in dislocated quasi metric spaces. It extends and generalizes the result of Sarma et al. [5, Theorem 5] to two self-maps by employing a more generalized contraction. It further unifies the results of Dubey et al. [2, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2], and some well-known fixed point results in the literature.

KEYWORDS: Complete dislocated quasi-metric, Contraction, Common fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dislocated topologies serves as an essential tool in view of its utility in the pursuit of developing logic programming (see [3], [4]). In 2000, Hitzler and Seda [4] proved a fixed point theorem in complete dislocated metric spaces as a generalization of the celebrated Banach contraction principle.

In 2006, Zeyada et al. [7] initiated the notion of complete dislocated quasi-metric space as a generalization of dislocated metric space, and generalized the result of Hitzler et al. [4] in such space. In 2008, Aage and Salunke [1] generalized the result of Zeyada et al. [7] by proving a fixed point theorem for Kannan type of contraction in complete dislocated quasi-metric space. Afterwards, a few papers dealt with fixed points in such space were obtained (for instance [5], [6] etc).

In 2014, Sarma et al. [5, Theorem 5] improved the result of Aage and Salunke [1, Theorem 3.3] by omitting continuity requirement, stated below as Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. Let (X,d) be a complete dq-metric space, and let $T:X\to X$ be a self-map satisfying the following condition:

$$d(Tx,Ty)\le a\{d(x,Tx)+d(y,Ty)\}$$

for all $x,y\in X$, where $0\le a<\frac{1}{2}$.

Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

The objective of this paper is to extend and generalize the result of Sarma et al. [5, Theorem 5] to two self-maps by employing a more generalized contraction, and then to unify the results of Dubey et al. [2, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2] and Aage et al. [1, Theorem 3.3].

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We need to retrieve the following relevant definitions and results in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. ([7]). Let X be a non-empty set and let $d:X\times X\to[0,\infty)$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $d(x,y)=d(y,x)=0$ implies $x=y$

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 5.164
CODEN: IJESS7

(ii) $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z)+d(z,y)$, for all $x,y,z \in X$.

Then d is called a dislocated quasi-metric (in short, dq-metric) on X, and the pair (X,d) is called a dislocated quasi-metric space (in short, dq-metric space).

In addition, if d satisfies $d(x,y)=d(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in X$, then it is called a dislocated metric.

A metric on a set is an example of dislocated metric which is also a dislocated quasi metric, but a dislocated quasi-metric is not necessarily dislocated metric and so it is not a metric.

A simple illustration of these facts is furnished in the following.

Example 2.2. Let $X=[0,1]$. Define $d: X\times X \to [0,\infty)$ by $d(x,y)=|x-y|+|x|$ for all $x,y \in X$. Then d is a dislocated quasi-metric space on X, but symmetric condition fails to hold and therefore, it is neither dislocated metric nor metric on X.

In what follows, X denotes dislocated quasi-metric space (X,d).

Definition 2.3. ([7]). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dq-metric space X is called dq-convergent if for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n,x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x,x_n) = 0$.

In this case, x is called a dislocated quasi limit (in short, dq-limit) of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Lemma 2.4. ([7]). dq-limits in a dq-metric space are unique.

Lemma 2.5. ([7]). Every subsequence of dq-convergent sequence to a point x_0 is dq-convergent to x_0.

Definition 2.6. ([7]). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dq-metric space X is called Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_m,x_n) < \varepsilon$ or $d(x_n,x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $m,n \geq n_0$.

Definition 2.7. ([7]). A dq-metric space X is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is dq-convergent.

III. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1. Let (X,d) be a complete dq-metric space, and let $S,T: X \to X$ be a pair of self-maps satisfying the following condition:

$$d(Sx,Ty) \leq a_1 d(x,y) + a_2\{d(x,Sx)+d(y,Ty)\} + a_3\{d(x,Ty)+d(y,Sx)\} \quad .... \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x,y \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$ with $a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_3 < 1$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let us choose $x_0 \in X$ arbitrary. We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$ and $x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We consider $d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) = d(Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})$.

In view of (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + a_2\{d(x_{2n},Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})\} \\
&\qquad + a_3\{d(x_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1},Sx_{2n})\} \\
&= a_1 d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + a_2\{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2})\} \\
&\qquad + a_3\{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+1})\} \\
&\leq a_1 d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + a_2\{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2})\} \\
&\qquad + a_3\{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2})\} \\
&= (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3)\, d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + (a_2 + 2a_3)\, d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (1 - a_2 - 2a_3)\, d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3)\, d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) \leq \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3}{1 - a_2 - 2a_3}\right) d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2}) \leq \lambda\, d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}), \text{ where } \lambda = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3}{1 - a_2 - 2a_3} < 1.$$

Similarly, we have $d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda\, d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})$.

So, we obtain $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le \lambda^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$.

Proceeding in this way, we have $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \le \lambda^{2n+1} d(x_0, x_1)$.

We claim that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Now, for $n, k \in ¥$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_{n+k}) &\le d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \;\; .... \;\; + d(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}) \\
&\le (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \lambda^{n+2} + \;\; .... \;\; + \lambda^{n+k-1})\, d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\le (\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \lambda^{n+2} + \;\; .... \;\;)\, d(x_0, x_1) \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}\right) d(x_0, x_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, $\lambda^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and so, $d(x_n, x_{n+k}) \to 0$. Similarly, we can show that $d(x_{n+k}, x_n) \to 0$. Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. It follows that completeness of X implies existence of $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, u) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(u, x_n) = 0$. Also the subsequences $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+2}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converge to u.

Now, we claim that $Su = Tu = u$.

We have
$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u, Su) &\le d(u, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, Su) \\
&= d(u, x_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n-1}, Su)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u, Su) &\le d(u, x_{2n}) + a_1 d(x_{2n-1}, u) + a_2 \{d(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(u, Su)\} \\
&\qquad + a_3 \{d(x_{2n-1}, Su) + d(u, Tx_{2n-1})\} \\
&= d(u, x_{2n}) + a_1 d(x_{2n-1}, u) + a_2 \{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d(u, Su)\} \\
&\qquad + a_3 \{d(x_{2n-1}, Su) + d(u, x_{2n})\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limit $n \to \infty$, we get

$$(1 - a_2 - a_3)\, d(u, Su) \le 0,$$

which is possible if $d(u, Su) = 0$, since $(1 - a_2 - a_3) \ne 0$.

Therefore, $d(u, Su) = 0$.

Also, we have
$$
\begin{aligned}
d(Su, u) &\le d(Su, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, u) \\
&= d(Su, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, u)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(Su, u) &\le a_1 d(u, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 \{d(u, Su) + d(x_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})\} \\
&\qquad + a_3 \{d(u, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, Su)\} + d(x_{2n}, u) \\
&= a_1 d(u, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 \{d(u, Su) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})\} \\
&\qquad + a_3 \{d(u, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n-1}, Su)\} + d(x_{2n}, u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limit $n \to \infty$, we get

$$d(Su, u) \le (a_2 + a_3)\, d(u, Su).$$

Since $d(u, Su) = 0$, $d(Su, u) \le 0$ and so, $d(Su, u) = 0$.

Therefore, $d(u, Su) = d(Su, u) = 0$ and so, $Su = u$.

Similarly, it can be shown that $Tu = u$.

It follows that $Su = Tu = u$, and therefore, u is a common fixed point of S and T.

We claim that u is the unique common fixed point of S and T.

Since u is a common fixed point of S and T, we have

$$d(u, u) = d(Su, Tu)$$

$$\le a_1 d(u,u) + a_2\{d(u,Su) + d(u,Tu)\} + a_3\{d(u,Tu) + d(u,Su)\}$$
$$= (a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3)\, d(u,u)$$
$$\Rightarrow (1 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3)\, d(u,u) \le 0,$$
which is possible if $d(u,u) = 0$, since $1 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3 \ne 0$.
Therefore, $d(u, u) = 0$.

If possible, let there be another common fixed point v of S and T.
Then $d(u, v) = d(Su, Tv)$
$$\le a_1 d(u,v) + a_2\{d(u,Su) + d(v,Tv)\} + a_3\{d(u,Tv) + d(v,Su)\}$$
$$= a_1 d(u,v) + a_2\{d(u,u) + d(v,v)\} + a_3\{d(u,v) + d(v,u)\}$$
$$= (a_1 + a_3)\, d(u, v) + a_3 d(v, u) \quad .... \quad (3.2)$$
Similarly, we have $d(v, u) \le (a_1 + a_3)\, d(v, u) + a_3 d(u, v)$ .... (3.3)
From (3.2) and (3.3), we have
$$|d(u,v) - d(v,u)| \le |a_1 + a_3 - a_3|\, |d(u,v) - d(v,u)|$$
which implies $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$, since $0 \le a_1 < 1$.
From (3.2), we get
$d(u, v) \le (a_1 + 2a_3)\, d(u, v)$, which gives $d(u,v) = 0$, since $a_1 + 2a_3 < 1$.
Further, we obtain $d(u, v) = d(v, u) = 0$, which implies $u = v$.
Hence, u is a unique common fixed point of S and T.
This completes the proof.

By setting $S = T$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2.

Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space, and let $T : X \to X$ be a self-map satisfying the following condition:
$$d(Tx, Ty) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2\{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)\} + a_3\{d(x,Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$$
for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i \ge 0$ with $a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_3 < 1$.
Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Remark 3.3. If $a_1 = a_3 = 0$ in Corollary 3.2, we obtain Theorem 1.1 (Sarma et al. [5, Theorem 5]) as a corollary of Theorem 3.1.

Taking into account that T is continuous and $S = T$ in the Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4.

Let (X, d) be a complete dq-metric space, and let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous self-map satisfying the following condition:
$$d(Tx, Ty) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2\{d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)\} + a_3\{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$$
for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i \ge 0$ with $a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_3 < 1$.
Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Remark 3.5. Corollary 3.4 reduces to Theorem 3.1 of Dubey et al. [2] if we set $a_3 = 0$.

Remark 3.6. Corollary 3.4 reduces to Theorem 3.2 of Dubey et al. [2] if we take $a_2 = 0$.

Remark 3.7. Corollary 3.4 reduces to Theorem 3.3 of Aage et al. [1] by putting $a_1 = 0$ and $a_3 = 0$.

IV. REFERENCES

[1] Aage C. T. and Salunke J. N., The Results on Fixed Points in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi Metric Space, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 2, No. 59, 2008, pp. 2941-2948.
[2] Dubey A. K., Shukla R. and Dubey R. P., Some Fixed Point Results in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces, *Int. Journal of Math. Trends and Tech*., Vol. 9, No. 1, May 2014, pp. 103-106.

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 5.164
CODEN: IJESS7

[3] Hitzler P., Generalized Metrics and Topology in Logic Programming Semantics, *Ph.D. Thesis*, *National University of Ireland* (*University College*, *Cork*), 2001.
[4] Hitzler P. and Seda A.K., Dislocated topologies, *J. Electr. Engin*., 51 (12/S), 2000, pp. 3-7.
[5] Sarma I. R., Rao J. M. and Rao S. S., Fixed Point Theorems in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces, *Math. Sci. Lett*., Vol. 3, No. 1, 2014, pp. 49-52.
[6] Sharmeswar Singh L., A Fixed Point Theorem of a Pair of Maps in Dislocated Quasi Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Computer and Mathematical Science* (*IJCMS*), Vol. 6, Issue 3, March 2017.
[7] Zeyada F. M., Hassan G. H. and Ahmed M. A., A Generalization of a Fixed Point Theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spaces, *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, Vol. 31, No. 1A, 2006, pp. 111-114.

CITE AN ARTICLE

Singh, L. S. (n.d.). A COMMON FIXED POINT RESULT OF A PAIR OF SELF-MAPS IN DISLOCATED QUASI METRIC SPACES. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY*, *7*(2), 574-578.